

# Organoid-on-chip pipeline

Step	Pipeline stage	What it means (step-by-step)
1	NEPA21 perturbation before chip loading	<p>This is the “genetic engineering step.”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>You electroporate (RNP / plasmid / etc.) into organoid cells or organoids off-chip.</li> </ul> <p>This avoids putting viral particles into microchannels/tubing and avoids dealing with diffusion/adsorption issues on-chip.</p> <p><b>Think of it as: do the edit in a dish → then move edited material into the device.</b></p>
2	recover → load into chip → perfuse → readout	<p>This is the “chip experiment step.”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Recover: give the organoids time to survive the electroporation and stabilise.</li> <li>Load into chip: seed into the device.</li> <li>Perfuse: start flow/gradients/drug perfusion/hypoxia gradients/co-culture.</li> <li>Readout: measure whatever the chip is built to measure (barrier function, polarity, morphology, secretomics, single-cell, imaging, etc.).</li> </ul> <p><b>Think of it as: edited organoids experience the engineered microenvironment, then you measure the response.</b></p>
3	decision/QC outputs	<p>This is the “what did we learn quickly?” step — the outputs that tell you whether to proceed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It's not a separate experiment; it's the conclusion from step 2.</li> <li>Typical “decision/QC outputs” in chip language are things like: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Compatibility: did the perturbation keep viability, architecture, flow response intact?</li> <li>Timing: do you see a change at 24–72h (true acute response) vs only after adaptation?</li> <li>Spatial contrast: does mosaicism create informative neighbor comparisons under gradients?</li> <li>Signal attribution: does the phenotype look biological vs “delivery background”?</li> <li>Shortlist: which perturbations are worth repeating/standardizing?</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><b>So “decision/QC outputs” really means: a fast go/no-go and a shortlist.</b></p>
4	viral approval gate	<p>This is simply a rule: only use viral once you've proven the biology and now need stability/uniformity.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>So the “gate” question is: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Do we now need viral because the next decision requires uniformity or long-term stability? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If no, stay with NEPA21 and iterate (different guide, timing, chip condition, matrix, flow rate, etc.).</li> <li>If yes, you “graduate” to viral.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul>
5	viral transduction later	<p>This is the “standardize and scale” step.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>You do viral only when you need: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>long-term uniform reporters across prolonged experiments</li> <li>lineage tracing across extended perfusion</li> <li>stable inducible systems</li> <li>uniform perturbation across all cells for bulk assays</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><b>So viral is not competing with NEPA21 at the beginning.</b>  <b>It's what you adopt once the NEPA21-based experiment has shown something worth stabilising</b></p>